IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No. 13769 of 2004

Between:

D. Venkatanarayana S/o Venkatramudu, aged 45 years,
r/o Singanamala Village & mandal, Anantapur District

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Child Development Project Officer, ICDS. Project,

Singanamala Villge & Mandal, Ananthapur District

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in harassing the petitioner and made him sit in the represents' office from morning to evening office hours daily in the process of getting the withdrawal of agreement dt 8-10-2002 is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 19, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent not to harass the petitioner pertaining to agreement dated 08-10-2002.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR KUNCHEAMMAHESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR WOMEN DEV. & CHILD WELFARE

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioner is a contractor to transport the food items from the godown of the respondent to various Anganwadi centers in Ananthapur District. He contends that the respondent entered into an agreement with him for the transport of the food items for the period from 08.10.2002 to 08.10.2005. He further contends that he has been sincerely complying with the conditions of the agreement dated 08.10.2002.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that without any default and without any reasons, the respondent is insisting him to withdraw from the contract. The petitioner issued a legal notice to the respondent. The respondent bore grudge against him, and, is harassing him by making him to sit in her office from morning to evening to bring pressure on him to withdraw the agreement. Therefore, he approached this Court, through this writ petition, seeking a direction to the respondent not to harass him, by making him to sit in the office from morning to evening, and continue to allow him to transport the food items from the godown of the respondent to various Anganwadi centers.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent represented that the respondent is not contemplating either to cancel the agreement or refuse to entrust the food items to the petitioner for transport to various Anganwadi centers, and it is only an apprehension of the petitioner that the agreement is going to be cancelled. He also represented that the allegation of the petitioner that he is made to sit in the office from morning to evening to bring pressure on him to withdraw the contract is also not correct.

4. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the

respondent, and in the light of the assurance given that the petitioner will not be harassed and there is no contemplation to withdraw the agreement, the Writ Petition is closed.

dsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1. The Child Development Project Officer,
I.C.D.S.Project, Singanamala Village & Mandal,
Ananthapur District

2. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Women
Development & Child Welfare, High Court Buildings,
Hyderabad (OUT)

3. Two CD copies.